<table>
<tr><td rowspan="3">BSTQM logo</td><td colspan="2">Case Study Evaluation Sheet for
International Convention on Quality Control Circles (ICQCC) 2020, Dhaka</td><td>Sl. No.:</td></tr>
</table>

	Case Study Evaluation Sheet for **International Convention on Quality Control Circles (ICQCC) 2020, Dhaka**		Sl. No.:
			Category:
Date:	**(Presentation time: 15 minutes + QA 5 minutes=20minutes)**		Hall No.:
Time:			Venue:

Name of the QCC : _____

Title/ Topic : _____

Organization/Company: _____

Country : _____ **Code No.:** _____

S/l No.	Item	Sub-item	Performance	Circle the number as Mark
1.0	**Identification/ selection of problem/ theme/ project** (max. 12 marks) *Please circle any one number against the sub-item*	1.1 Relevance (*How much relevance is the problem with QCC*)	Relevant/ Justified	3
			Little	2
			Not Relevant	1
		1.2 Controllability (*Is the problem selection within the control of QCC*)	Fully within control of QCC	3
			Not in full control	2
			Beyond Control	1
		1.3 Method of selection	Data based & prioritized	3
			Data based	2
			General Choice	1
		1.4 Definition (*Is the definition appropriate*)	Appropriate	3
			To some extent justified	2
			Not in math	1
2.0	**Analysis** (max.12 marks) *Please circle any one number against each sub-item*	2.1 Analysis of causes & Root Cause Identification	Precisely Identified	3
			Generally Identified	2
			Not adequate	1
		2.2 Use of data/ statistics	Adequate & relevant	3
			Used but not relevant/Moderate	2
			No / Negligible	1
		2.3 Appropriateness of Tools & Techniques	Most relevant & appropriate	3
			Relevant but not adequate tools used	2
			Unnecessary Tools applied	1
		2.4 Approach of analysis	Logical & Sequential	3
			Moderate	2
			Not logical	1
3.0	**Solution Formulation** (max.15 marks) *Please circle any one number against the sub-item*	3.1 Clarity of Solution	Well defined/ Clear	5
			Moderate	3
			Not Clear	1
		3.2 Quality of Solution	Precise/specific/appropriate	5
			Moderate	3
			Not clear	1
		3.3 Action Plan	Prepared	5
			Not adequate	3
			Not Prepared	1
4.0	**Implementation** (max. 15 marks) *Please circle any one number against the sub-item*	4.1 Conformance to Plan	Full Compliance	5
			Average	3
			Little	1
		4.2 Team Effort	Strong	5
			Moderate	3
			Little	1
		4.3 Use of PDCA	Good use of PDCA	5
			Moderate	3
			Not used	1

S/l No.	Item	Sub-item	Performance	Circle the number as Mark
5.0	**Standardization** *(max. 6 marks)* *Please circle any one number against the sub-item*	5.1 Standardization of Results	Institutionalized/ Replicated in all areas and Defined next theme	6
			Institutionalized/ Replicated in all areas but not Defined next theme	4
			Moderate/ Prevention of re-occurrence	2
			Little evidence of Standardization	1
6.0	**Presentation** *(max. 30 marks)* *Please circle any one number against the sub-item*	6.1 Documentation	Logical flow: one part leads to another	5
			Some portion organized	3
			Badly organized	1
		6.2 Visual aids	Clear & Explicit	5
			Some What Satisfactory	3
			Hazy	1
		6.3 Time Management	Finished on Time	5
			Hurriedly finished	3
			Time over run	1
		6.4 Delivery	Established rapport with listeners/ professional	5
			Somewhat sustained	3
			Poor	1
		6.5 Teamwork	Displayed Effective Teamwork	5
			Moderate	3
			Not effective	1
		6.6 Question & Answer	Convincingly answered all	5
			Not very effectively answered	3
			Could not handle Q &A	1
7.0	**General Impression** *(max. 10 marks)*	7.1 General Impression *(Pl. circle any one number only)*	Excellent	10
			Very Good	8
			Good	6
			Poor	4
			Very poor	2
			Total Marks Obtained:	

Note: Sl # 1 to 5 will be pr-evaluated and Sl # 6 & 7 for total marks 30+10=40 will be evaluated at the time of presentation.

Award Category: GOLD: 80 & above, SILVER: 60 to 79 & BRONZE: up to 59

Comments of the Judge *(if any)***:**

Strong Points	Weak Points

Position	Name	Code No.	Signature with date
Session Chair			
Session Judge			
Stream Manager			